

January 15, 1970

MEMO TO: G. W. Dutcher
FROM: Uldis Karins
SUBJECT: Meeting with William Ward about operations of the landfill

Monday, January 12, 1970, Mr. Cahalan and the writer met with Mr. Ward and discussed the following items:

1) Mr. Ward was told that he is not making adequate preparations to meet emergency conditions as they develop at the landfill. It was pointed out to Mr. Ward that the County in nearly all instances of wind emergencies had to take the incentive and make arrangements to correct undesirable situations. This, in the opinion of the writer, is due to the fact that the landfill is not adequately staffed for taking care of changing conditions. Mr. Ward's reply to this was that he has authorized his supervision to employ more help if conditions so require but that he had problems getting additional help on short notice.

This item was concluded with our statement that we expect Mr. Ward to take all necessary steps to prepare for emergencies and not to rely on the County personnel to solve such problems. He was told to call on County for help if needed, but not to completely depend on the County to help him at all times. He was reminded of his contractual agreement to provide sufficient manpower for the proper operation of the landfill.

2) Mr. Ward complained that the County's perimeter fence was not installed and that this fact has upset his plans. To this we replied that the delay was due to money problems and that we are awarding the contract now. It was also pointed out that this fence is not intended to protect the areas outside the landfill from blowing paper but to keep unauthorized persons out from the landfill area.

3) Mr. Ward was told that he will be billed by the County for work done after the December 27 emergency. To this he agreed but informed us that he will bill the County for the costs of operating the landfill on Sunday, December 28, 1969.

027889

000215

Page 2

Memo to G. W. Dutcher

Meeting with Mr. Ward

- 4) The closing of the landfill, when extremely high winds prevail, was discussed with no definite agreement.
- 5) Mr. Ward asked for progress of his requests for additional pay for \$2.00 loads and County deposits. Mr. Cahalan replied that these items are under consideration but has not resulted in any decision.
- 6) Mr. Ward was asked if the County could store tires on the leased land. He agreed to this, provided this was done to no cost to him.

SUMMARY:

It was reemphasized to Mr. Ward that it is his responsibility to operate the landfill as specified in the landfill contract and that he must indicate all necessary actions. Mr. Ward promised to review his procedures to see if his orders were properly initiated by his personnel.

gac

cc: project file

007588

000216